PATENT



MAY 1 9 200	<i>፮</i>		
FRADEN	Practitioner's Docket No5	600-2	P
,}	IN THE UNITED STATE	S PATENT AND TRADEMARK O	FFICE
	In re application of: Robert Kay Application No.: 0 9 / 914,366 Filed: January 30, 2002	Group No.: 2644 Examiner:	

NOVELTY ANIMATED DEVICE WITH SYNCHRONISED AUDIO OUTPUT, AND For:

METHOD FOR ACHIEVING SYNCHRONISED AUDIO OUTPUT THEREIN

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

2644 ATTENTION: Group Director, Group. _ (M.P.E.P. § 1002.02(c))

PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION RECEIVED **UNDER M.P.E.P. § 708.02, VIII**

MAY 2 2 2003

NOTE: See M.P.E.P. § 708.02, 7th ed.

Technology Center 2600

Applicant hereby petitions to make this new application, which has not received any examination by the Examiner, special.

2

1. Petition

2. (Clain	ns				
		(check and complete all applicable items (a) throu	ıgh (c))			
(a)		All the claims in this case are directed to a single inve	ention.			
(b)		If the Office determines that all the claims presented are not obviously directed to a single invention, then applicant will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status.				
(c)		If claim(s) are found not to be examinable in this case wit				
		claim(s), then applicant hereby elec-	cts claim(s)			
		for the prosecution of this case.				
3. S	iear	ch (check all applicable items (d) through (g))	JUN 8 1 2003 THINOLOGY CENTER 3700			
A s	earcl	h has been made by	CHMOTORY OFFICE AND			
(d)		the inventor				
(e)		attorney				
(f)		professional searcher				
(g)	X	foreign patent office				
in the	folic	owing: U.K. Patent Office GB 0111909.8 Patent Application				

•		
		(complete all applicable items below)
(h)		field of search: WPI; EPODOC; JAPIO
(1.7)	ب	class(es)INT CL (Ed. 7) subclass(es) GO3B; HO4N; G2E
(i)		publications: UK CL (Ed.S)
(j)		foreign patents:
(k)	ĸ	search by corresponding foreign patent office or at the former International Patent Institute at The Hague, Netherlands.
4.	Copy	of references
		s submitted herewith a copy of the references deemed most closely related to et matter encompassed by the claims.
	KX	Also attached are Forms PTO/SB/08A and 08B (formerly Form PTO-1449)
5. 1	Deta	iled discussion of the references
partio	culari	submitted herewith a detailed discussion of the references, which discussion points out how the claimed subject matter is distinguishable over the references. It to all other references not treated above, an Information Disclosure Statement should be filed.
	Κx	Also attached is an Information Disclosure Statement.
6.	Fee	
Th	e fee	required by 37 C.F.R. 1.17(i) (\$130.00) is to be paid by
X		iched is a \square check \square money order in the amount of \$\frac{130.00}{}
	Aut	norization is hereby made to charge the amount of \$
	X	to Deposit Account No. 23-3030
		to Credit card as shown on the attached credit card information authorization form PTO-2038.
WAF	RNING	: Credit card information should not be included on this form as it may become public.
X		rge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment in the ner authorized above.

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No.: 26,207

Customer No.:

Tel. No.: (317) 634-3456

A duplicate of this paper is attached.

(type or print name of practitioner)
WOODARD EMHARDT MORIARTY MCNETT & HENRY LLP

John V. Moriarty

111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700

P.O. Address

Indianapolis, IN 46204

(Petition to Make Special for New Application under M.P.E.P. § 708.02, III [9-15]—page 2 of 2)

(Rel.85-11/00 Pub.605) FORM 9-15 9-100









Virtual Video UK Ltd. % Bailey, Walsh & Co 5 York Place LEEDS LS1 2SD

RS AND

The Patent Office Patents Directorate

Concept House Cardiff Road, Newport South Wales NP10 8QQ

Examiner: 01633 813736

[†]E-mail: Andrew.Jenner@patent.gov.uk

Switchboard: 01633 814000

Fax: 01633 814444 Minicom: 08459 222250 DX 722540/41 Cleppa Park 3 http://www.patent.gov.uk

RECEIVED

MAY 2 2 2003

Technology Center 2600

Your Reference: 8786-GB Application No: GB 0111909.8

10 July 2001

Dear Sirs

Patents Act 1977:

Combined Search and Examination Report under Sections 17 and 18(3)

Latest date for reply:

24 May 2002

I enclose two copies of my search and examination report and a copy of the citations.

By the above date you should either file amendments to meet the objections in the report or make observations on them. If you do not, the application may be refused.

Accelerated publication

At your request, publication of your application is being accelerated. Provided you have met all formal requirements, preparations for publication will be completed shortly and you will receive a letter informing you of the publication number and date of publication.

Amendment/withdrawal

Please note that, due to the acceleration of the procedure, it is unlikely that there will be sufficient time to file amended claims for inclusion with the published application, or to withdraw the application to prevent publication.

18 JUL 2001

[†]Use of E-mail: Please note that e-mail should be used for correspondence only.







Application No: GB 0111909.8

Page 2

10 July 2001

Yours faithfully

Andrew P Jenner

Examiner

Important information about combined search and examination

I also ask that you take note of the following points. These might have a bearing on the future stages of your application because the examination report has been sent to you before your application has been published.

- (a) You may file voluntary amendments before making a full response to my examination report. We will publish with your application any new or amended claims you file voluntarily or as a full response, provided that they are received before preparations for publication are completed. It would help us when you file amendments before publication if you could prominently indicate in a covering letter whether or not the amendments are intended as a full response to the examination report.
- (b) If you file a full response to the examination report before your application is published I will consider it as soon as possible. However, if this would disrupt the publication of your application, I would have to delay taking any action until the application had been published. This delay could be up to 3 months, depending upon when we receive your response.
- (c) There is another situation when there might be a delay between you filing a full response and the Patent Office responding to it. This would arise if you met all my objections but your application had not or had only recently been published. I could not report the outcome of my re-examination until I was satisfied that the search was complete for documents published before the priority date of your invention and that anybody interested in the application has had three months following publication of the application to make observations on the patentability of your invention.
- Provided that the requirements of the Act have been met, I can send your application to grant as early as three months after publication. Before doing so I will bring the original search up to date and raise with you any further objection that might result from this top-up search. However, there is a possibility that at that time I may not have access to all the patent applications published after the priority date of your invention and of possible relevance to your application. If this is the case I would have to complete the search after grant and if necessary raise any new found novelty objection then.







Application No:

GB 0111909.8

Claims searched: 1 - 35

Examiner:

Date of search:

Andrew P Jenner

9 July 2001

Patents Act 1977 Search Report under Section 17

Databases searched:

UK Patent Office collections, including GB, EP, WO & US patent specifications, in:

UK Cl (Ed.S): G2E

Int Cl (Ed.7): G03B, H04N

Other:

Online: WPI, EPODOC, JAPIO

Internet: http://www.stereoscopy.com

Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Identity of document and relevant passage		Relevant to claims
A	GB 23/12349 A	BURDER - see lines 12 - 14 of page 2	
A	GB 2304252 A	BURDER - see paragraph 3 of page 8	
A	EP 0982701 A2	EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY	

& Member of the same patent family

- A Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
- P Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.
- E Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.

X Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step

Y Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.







Your ref:

8786-GB

Application No: GB 0111909.8

Applicant:

Virtual Video UK Ltd.

Latest date for reply:

24 May 2002

Examiner:

Andrew P Jenner

Tel:

01633 813736

Date of report: 10 July 2001

Page 1/1

Patents Act 1977

Combined Search and Examination Report under Sections 17 & 18(3)

Clarity

Paragraph 2 of page 1 casts doubt on the intended scope of the invention as it is not 1. clear as to what is meant by 'any image display'. Claim 1, which defines the intended scope of the invention, and independent claim 14 both state that a lenticular display must be used.